

**NECHAKO RIVER
CHINOOK CARCASS RECOVERY
2009**

NECHAKO FISHERIES CONSERVATION PROGRAM
Data Report No. M09-2

Prepared by:
Mark Potyrala and Byron Nutton
Fisheries and Oceans Canada
April 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF APPENDICES

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION

METHODS

RESULTS

DISCUSSION – COMPARISON TO PREVIOUS YEARS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1. Nechako River Chinook Carcass Recovery by Section, 2009.
- Table 2. Nechako River Chinook Carcass Condition, 2009.
- Table 3. Nechako River Chinook Age Contribution (%) by Sex, 2009.
- Table 4. Nechako River Chinook Fecundity, 1978-2009.
- Table 5. Nechako River Chinook Egg Retention, 1988-2009.
- Table 6. Percent Contribution of Stream-type Life Histories to Nechako Chinook Escapements, 1988-2009.
- Table 7. Percent Contribution of Age-at-Return Groupings to Nechako Chinook Escapements, 1988-2009.

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1. Nechako River Drainage.
- Figure 2. Nechako River Chinook Spawning Study Area.
- Figure 3. Nechako River Chinook Length Frequency Distribution, 2009.
- Figure 4. Nechako River Chinook Sex Ratio, 1988-2009.
- Figure 5. Nechako River Chinook Male Mean Length, 1988-2009.
- Figure 6. Nechako River Chinook Female Mean length, 1988-2009.
- Figure 7. Nechako River Chinook Mean Egg Retention, 1988-2009.

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix 1. 2009 Nechako River Chinook Carcass Recovery Project: Field Data and Ageing Results.

ABSTRACT

In 2009 adult Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) carcasses were recovered from the Nechako River in order to collect biological data on sex, size, fecundity, egg retention, life history and age. This information contributes to the database being compiled under the auspices of the Nechako Fisheries Conservation Program to monitor the Nechako Chinook population.

A total of 200 carcasses were collected on the Nechako River between September 18th and 30th. Nechako River Chinook carcasses recovered in 2009 exhibited similar biological characteristics to those collected from 1988 to 2008. Values for the female to male ratio of the sample and the mean post-orbital hypural length for both males and females fell within the ranges observed in previous years. The spawning population was almost exclusively comprised of individuals with a stream-type life history and dominated by the 5₂ age-class.

INTRODUCTION

Each year since 1988 the Nechako Fisheries Conservation Program (NFCP) Technical Committee has conducted a suite of projects to monitor the population of Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) that spawn and rear in the Nechako River. The goal of these projects is to provide the information necessary for the NFCP to assess whether or not the Conservation Goal identified in the 1987 Settlement Agreement (Anon, 1987) is being met.

As part of this program of studies to monitor Nechako River Chinook salmon, the Technical Committee has conducted a carcass recovery project on the Nechako River each year. The purpose of this project is to gather biological data on adult spawners, including: sex, size, fecundity, egg retention, life history and age. In particular, analysis of fish age indicates the relative contribution of each brood year to the current years' spawning population, which is used to interpret the results of the annual NFCP enumeration project.

In the past, the information collected from the Nechako River has been compared to similar information collected from the Stuart River, an adjacent system unaffected by flow regulation (Figure 1), to assist in identifying potential effects of flow regulation on the Nechako Chinook population. As no obvious trends or anomalies were identified over the initial 18 years of the study history (1988-2005), it was decided by the NFCP Technical Committee that the continuation of the annual Stuart River component was not necessary. The annual Stuart River study component may be restarted in the future if deemed necessary.

METHODS

Sampling was conducted throughout the period of Chinook spawner die-off, normally from mid-September to early October.

In the Nechako River sampling was conducted from Cheslatta Falls downstream to Vanderhoof (Figure 2). In order to ensure a representative sample, recovery effort was based on spawner distribution observed during helicopter surveys conducted as part of the concurrent enumeration project. The normal Nechako River target sample size is 200 fish.

Several sampling surveys were conducted throughout the period of die-off to ensure that both early and late spawners were represented in the samples. The survey was conducted by running a jet boat downstream at low speed and recovering carcasses with a gaff. If the carcass was too badly decomposed or eaten by animals to measure body length or take scale samples, it was cut in half to prevent re-counting and returned to the river. Each carcass was assigned a number and its location and date of recovery recorded. When a sufficient number of carcasses had been collected, the crew stopped to collect the following samples and biological information:

- **sex:** The sex of each fish was determined based on morphology, and confirmed by abdominal incision and internal examination.
- **condition:** Carcass condition was recorded as: 1) fresh; 2) fair to good; 3) poor with some fungus; or 4) partially decomposed but still able to be sampled. In addition, other observations were recorded, particularly the presence of net scars or lamprey marks.
- **post-orbital hypural length (POHL):** The distance from the posterior margin of the orbit to the flexure of the hypural plate in the caudal peduncle was recorded to the nearest millimeter.
- **egg retention and fecundity:** The body cavities of females were checked for eggs. All eggs were counted unless the number was greater than 1000, in which case they were estimated volumetrically. In the case of under-developed eggs which could not be separated and counted, the sample was recorded as a pre-spawn mortality with fully skeined eggs.
- **scales:** Ten scales were taken from each processed carcass and stored in gummed, pre-numbered scale books. Five scales were taken from each side of the body in the preferred area (several rows above the lateral line between the posterior end of the dorsal fin and the anterior insertion of the anal fin). Care was taken to avoid regenerated, resorbed and irregular shaped scales. Fish age was later determined by analysis of the scales, conducted by staff at Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) laboratory facilities.
- **adipose fin:** A missing adipose fin is evidence of a hatchery raised fish with a coded-wire tag implanted in its head. If the fin was missing, the head was removed and sent to an independent laboratory for tag removal and identification.

All processed carcasses were cut in half to prevent recounting and returned to the river.

RESULTS

Data collected from each Chinook carcass sampled in the Nechako River in 2009 are presented in Appendix 1. Summaries of this data are provided in the respective sections below.

Between September 18th and 30th a total of 200¹ carcasses were sampled from 8 of the 16 identified Sections representing all 3 river areas – upper, middle and lower river (Table 1). The observed sex ratio was 1.30 F/M, or 57% females and 43% males (n=200). Two Chinook jacks and a single Chinook jill were collected. Of the carcasses sampled, 60% were fresh or only a few days old while another 37% were in poor condition with some fungus (Table 2).

The length (POHL) of the fish sampled ranged from 398 to 842 mm, with a mean of 734 mm (n=87, SD=70) for males, 700 mm (n=113, SD=51) for females and 715 mm (n=200, SD=63) for all fish combined. For both males the majority of individuals sampled were between 701-800 mm in length while the majority of females ranged in size from 651-750 mm (Figure 3).

Of the total number of female carcasses sampled (n=113), three were found to be a pre-spawn mortalities. Of the three pre-spawn mortalities, two had underdeveloped skeins precluding egg count estimation while the other was found to have retained an estimated 6180 eggs. No samples were partially spawned, as determined by a retention value of between 1000 and 4999 eggs. Meanwhile, 110 (>97%) were determined to be fully spawned, based on egg retention of less than 1000. The mean egg retention of fully and partially spawned females was 11 eggs (n=110, SD=69, range 0–690).

Scale samples from 200 carcasses recovered from the Nechako River were sent to the Pacific Biological Station in Nanaimo for age analysis. Complete ages were determined for 171 of those samples (Table 3). The results indicate that the majority of the fish sampled were of two age-classes, 5₂ (74%) and 4₂ (21%). A chi-square test was used to determine that the numbers of males and females in these age-classes were not significantly disproportionate to the sex ratio of the sample (p=0.11).

¹ Any discrepancy between the total number of carcasses sampled and the reported number of carcasses for various parameters is due to the fact that only partial data were recorded for some carcasses. However, all carcasses were maintained in the dataset and any partial data that was recorded was used in the appropriate analyses.

One of the recovered Chinook was thought to have an adipose fin missing, but no other form of marking or tagging was observed.

DISCUSSION - COMPARISON TO PREVIOUS YEARS

A comparison of 2009 Nechako River Chinook carcass recovery data was made to data collected by the NFCP each year since 1988 (NFCP M88-4 and M89-2 to M08-2). Although some limited data were collected prior to 1988 it was not deemed necessary to include these data in the comparison, since information has been collected by the NFCP for several years using standardized methods and study areas. The exception is the discussion on fecundity which includes data collected prior to the inception of the NFCP. This exception was made because the prior data adds substantially to the available dataset due to the paucity of information regarding Nechako River Chinook female fecundity.

The observed sex ratio of 1.30 F/M was within the existing range (1.10-2.28) observed from 1988-2008 (Figure 7), and lower than the mean of 1.60 (n=21, SD=0.30), as indicated by 95% confidence limit of 1.47-1.73.

When comparing the mean length (POHL) of both males and females to observations from previous years, no obvious trends were apparent. For both sexes, the mean lengths observed in 2009 fell within the ranges observed in previous years (Figures 5 and 6).

With the addition of new un-spawned egg retention data in 2009 (6180 eggs retained) the average fecundity of Nechako River female Chinook is estimated at 6550 eggs per fish (Table 4) based on egg retention estimates of unspawned females collected since 1978. Although no further analysis of this statistic is conducted for this report, this value may contribute to other aspects of the NFCP monitoring projects, particularly the estimates of egg-to-fry survival.

The mean egg retention in fully and partially spawned carcasses was compared to values from previous years (Table 5). The 2009 mean is the 2nd lowest value when compared to historic data but the confidence limits fit within the bounds of all years' results (Figure 7).

The Nechako River Chinook spawning population is almost exclusively comprised of individuals that spend one or more years as a fry or parr in fresh water before migrating out to the ocean (stream-type life history), and is dominated by 4₂ and 5₂ age-classes. These have been consistent observations since the inception of the NFCP monitoring program. In 2009, age-classes 4₂ and 5₂ accounted for 95% of the return (n=171), with all stream-type fish accounting for 98% of the sample (Table 6).

In addition to identifying life history strategies, age data combined with the current years' escapement estimate are used to determine the relative success of past brood years in generating subsequent returns to the river. Since this analysis requires the results of several years, age-at-return data since the inception of the NFCP is documented in Table 7 to facilitate the discussion in the Nechako River Chinook Enumeration report (NFCP M09-1).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Nechako River carcass recovery was conducted by Avison Management Service, Ltd.

Staff at DFO's Pacific Biological Station in Nanaimo analyzed the various samples.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous. 1987. Settlement Agreement between Alcan Aluminum Ltd., the Minister of Fisheries and Oceans and the Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum. Signed September 14, 1987 in Vancouver, BC.
- Fee, P. and M. Sheng. 1978. Nechako River Chinook Fry and Spawning Survey. Unpublished manuscript prepared by Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Vancouver, BC.
- Jaremovic, L. and D. Rowland. 1988. Review of Chinook Salmon Escapements in the Nechako River, British Columbia. Canadian Manuscript Report of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences No. 1963.
- NFCP. Nechako and Stuart Rivers Chinook Carcass Recovery 1988 to 2008. Nechako Fisheries Conservation Program Data Reports M88-4 and M89-2 to M08-2.
- Olmsted, W.R., M. Whelan and G.A. Vigers. 1980. 1979 Investigations of Fall Spawning Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), Nechako and Quesnel/Horsefly Rivers, B.C. Prepared by E.V.S. Consultants Ltd. North Vancouver, B.C. for the Department of Fisheries and Oceans.
- Russell, L.R., K.R. Conlin, O.K. Johansen and U. Orr. 1983. Chinook Salmon Studies on the Nechako River: 1980, 1981, 1982. Canadian Manuscript Report of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences No. 1728.





Figure 1

NECHAKO RIVER DRAINAGE



Nechako Fisheries Conservation Program

0 25 km



FIGURE 2. NECHAKO RIVER CHINOOK SPAWNING STUDY AREA

Figure 3
Nechako River Chinook Length Frequency Distribution, 2009




Figure 4
Nechako River Chinook Sex Ratio, 1988-2009




Figure 5
Nechako River Chinook Male Mean Length, 1988-2009




Figure 6
Nechako River Chinook Female Mean Length, 1988-2009




Figure 7
Nechako River Chinook Mean Egg retention, 1988-2009




Table 1
Nechako River Chinook Carcass Recovery by Section, 2009

Section	Number	Percent
UPPER NECHAKO		
Section 1	0	0.0
Section 2	0	0.0
Section 3	81	40.5
Section 4	9	4.5
Section 5	10	5.0
Section 6	20	10.0
Section 7	0	0.0
SUB-TOTAL	120	60.0
MIDDLE NECHAKO		
Section 8	0	0.0
Section 9	0	0.0
Section 10	0	0.0
Section 11	12	6.0
Section 12	33	16.5
Section 13	0	0.0
SUB-TOTAL	45	22.5
LOWER NECHAKO		
Section 14	0	0.0
Section 15	8	4.0
Section 16	27	13.5
SUB-TOTAL	35	17.5
TOTAL RIVER	200	100.0

Table 2
Nechako River Chinook Carcass Condition, 2009

Condition *	Number	Percent
1	45	22.5
2	75	37.5
3	74	37.0
4	6	3.0
TOTAL	200	100.0

* Carcass Condition

1 - Fresh carcass

2 - Fair to good carcass (2 - 3 days old)

3 - Poor carcass condition with some fungus

4 - Very old and decomposed carcass

Table 3
Nechako River Chinook Age Composition (%) by Sex, 2009

	4-1	4-2	5-2	6-2	Total # Aged
Males	1.4	15.1	80.8	0.0	74
Females	3.1	24.7	70.1	0.0	97

Table 4
Nechako River Chinook Fecundity, 1978-2009

Year	Post-orbital Hypural Length (mm)	Fecundity (eggs/female)	Sources*	Cumulative Mean
1978	684	5250	1	
1978	663	6305	1	
1979	703	7200	2	
1979	611	5313	2	
1979	611	5284	2	
1980	710	5000	3	
1980	710	5000	3	
1985	760	6800	4	5769
1989	733	6073		
1989	695	5831		
1989	720	5500		
1989	730	5065		5718
1990	760	8831		
1990	730	7040		6035
1991	715	7289		
1991	710	6901		
1991	670	5714		6141
1992	680	7395		
1992	705	7111		6258
1993	690	6848		
1993	630	5705		
1993	720	5575		6229
1995	706	6750		
1995	712	5109		6204
1998	751	10026		
1998	745	9473		
1998	765	8216		
1998	712	6437		6537
2001	642	7280		6563
2009	574	6180		6550

*Sources: 1 = Fee and Sheng (1978),
2 = Olmsted *et al.* (1980),
3 = Russell *et al.* (1983), and
4 = Jaremovic and Rowland (1988)

Table 5
 Nechako River Chinook Egg Retention, 1988-2009

Year	Fully Spawned			Partially Spawned		Fully + Partially
	n	range	mean	n	range	mean
1988	123	0-500	11.5	4	1000-4320	91.4
1989	144	0-757	21.5	3	2760-3960	90.6
1990	226	0-982	40.7	2	4066-4503	78
1991	154	0-732	22.4	2	1383-2005	43.8
1992	219	0-862	20.2	3	1484-4021	60.5
1993	100	0-529	32.8	3	1045-4686	115.8
1994	90	0-249	10.7	2	1565-2272	52.2
1995	144	0-899	38.3	8	1613-4600	216.1
1996	166	0-212	5.8	2	1100-3600	33.7
1997	127	0-326	13.1	4	2700-4081	125.5
1998	124	0-849	33.2	0	n/a	33.2
1999	129	0-389	9.2	4	3100-4000	113.5
2000	153	0-965	10.9	3	1366-3500	52.8
2001	274	0-636	12.4	0	n/a	12.4
2002	133	0-813	13.5	0	n/a	13.5
2003	125	0-696	15.7	2	1100-3032	48
2004	139	0-417	6.7	0	n/a	6.7
2005	123	0-584	13.2	1	3000	37.3
2006	127	0-450	7.5	1	1803	21.6
2007	57	0-175	5.8	1	3150	60
2008	118	0-804	17.4	1	1645	31.1
2009	110	0-690	10.9	0	n/a	10.9

Table 6
 Percent Contribution of Stream-type Life Histories
 to Nechako Chinook Escapements, 1988-2009

Year	% Contribution		Sample Size
	4-2 + 5-2	All Stream-type	
1988	80	99	210
1989	81	97	200
1990	80	98	225
1991	68	96	210
1992	90	99	200
1993	85	100	188
1994	88	100	172
1995	97	99	207
1996	87	99	211
1997	96	100	206
1998	97	99	207
1999	95	100	204
2000	97	100	250
2001	99	100	180
2002	93	98	178
2003	96	100	164
2004	98	100	169
2005	95	100	170
2006	95	96	184
2007	79	96	70
2008	93	94	177
2009	95	98	171

Table 7
 Percent Contribution of Age-at-Return Groupings
 to Nechako Chinook Escapements, 1988-2009

Year	% Contribution					Sample Size
	3 years	4 years	5 years	6 years	7 years	
1988	0.0	9.0	72.4	18.6	0.0	210
1989	1.0	30.0	52.5	15.5	1.0	200
1990	0.0	5.3	76.0	17.3	1.3	225
1991	1.0	16.7	54.3	25.7	2.4	210
1992	1.0	7.0	84.0	8.0	0.0	200
1993	0.0	13.3	71.8	14.9	0.0	188
1994	0.0	11.0	76.7	11.0	1.2	172
1995	0.0	14.0	84.5	1.4	0.0	207
1996	0.0	40.8	49.8	9.5	0.0	211
1997	0.0	20.9	75.7	3.4	0.0	206
1998	0.0	24.6	73.4	1.9	0.0	207
1999	0.5	44.1	51.0	4.4	0.0	204
2000	0.0	64.8	32.4	2.8	0.0	250
2001	0.0	11.1	88.3	0.6	0.0	180
2002	0.6	22.5	73.0	3.9	0.0	178
2003	1.2	31.1	65.2	2.4	0.0	164
2004	0.6	37.3	60.9	1.2	0.0	169
2005	0.6	27.1	67.6	4.7	0.0	170
2006	0.0	19.6	79.3	1.1	0.0	184
2007	1.4	14.3	68.6	12.9	2.9	70
2008	0.6	92.1	6.2	1.1	0.0	177
2009	1.8	23.4	74.9	0.0	0.0	171

Appendix 1 Nechako Carcass Data

Fish #	Date	Reach	Sex	Condition	POHL (mm)	# Eggs Retained	Fish Sample	Age (Gilbert-Rich)	Comments
1	18-Sep-2009	16	M	2	770	n/a	78501 1	3M	
2	18-Sep-2009	16	M	2	780	n/a	78501 2	52	
3	18-Sep-2009	16	M	2	783	n/a	78501 3	52	
4	18-Sep-2009	16	M	2	728	n/a	78501 4	52	
5	18-Sep-2009	16	M	1	788	n/a	78501 5	52	
6	18-Sep-2009	16	F	3	472	0	78502 1	32	
7	18-Sep-2009	16	F	2	600	5	78502 2	53	
8	18-Sep-2009	16	F	3	671	0	78502 3	52	
9	18-Sep-2009	16	F	2	651	19	78502 4	52	
10	18-Sep-2009	16	M	2	745	n/a	78502 5	52	
11	18-Sep-2009	16	M	1	609	n/a	78503 1	42	
12	18-Sep-2009	16	F	1	699	5	78503 2	42	
13	19-Sep-2009	15	M	2	784	n/a	78503 3	52	
14	19-Sep-2009	15	F	2	735	0	78503 4	3M	
15	19-Sep-2009	15	F	2	727	5	78503 5	52	
16	19-Sep-2009	15	M	1	721	n/a	78504 1	52	
17	19-Sep-2009	15	M	2	800	n/a	78504 2	52	nose-fork 1021
18	19-Sep-2009	15	F	3	707	0	78504 3	42	
19	19-Sep-2009	15	M	2	744	n/a	78504 4	52	
20	19-Sep-2009	15	M	3	734	n/a	78504 5	52	
21	21-Sep-2009	11	F	4	780	0	78505 1	42	no left side/heavily predated
22	21-Sep-2009	11	F	2	694	0	78505 2	52	
23	21-Sep-2009	11	F	1	703	5	78505 3	52	
24	21-Sep-2009	11	F	3	729	skein	78505 4	52	premort/too tight to count
25	21-Sep-2009	11	F	2	817	skein	78505 5	52	nose-fork 1060/premort
26	21-Sep-2009	11	F	2	574	6180	78506 1	42	premort/yellow coloration/BKD?
27	21-Sep-2009	11	M	2	593	n/a	78506 2	2M	
28	21-Sep-2009	12	F	3	690	0	78506 3	52	
29	21-Sep-2009	12	M	1	644	n/a	78506 4	42	
30	21-Sep-2009	12	M	1	753	n/a	78506 5	52	
31	21-Sep-2009	12	M	3	736	n/a	78507 1	52	
32	21-Sep-2009	12	M	2	735	n/a	78507 2	42	

Fish #	Date	Reach	Sex	Condition	POHL (mm)	# Eggs Retained	Fish Sample	Age (Gilbert-Rich)	Comments
33	21-Sep-2009	12	F	1	763	6	78507 3	52	
34	21-Sep-2009	12	M	1	768	n/a	78507 4	41	
35	21-Sep-2009	12	F	2	709	3	78507 5	52	
36	21-Sep-2009	12	M	1	703	n/a	78508 1	42	
37	21-Sep-2009	12	M	3	788	n/a	78508 2	52	
38	21-Sep-2009	12	M	1	568	n/a	78508 3	2M	
39	21-Sep-2009	12	M	3	775	n/a	78508 4	52	
40	21-Sep-2009	12	F	1	734	1	78508 5	52	
41	21-Sep-2009	12	M	1	608	n/a	78509 1	RS	
42	21-Sep-2009	12	F	2	755	0	78509 2	3M	
43	21-Sep-2009	12	F	1	700	4	78509 3	52	
44	21-Sep-2009	12	F	3	734	0	78509 4	52	
45	21-Sep-2009	12	F	2	725	3	78509 5	42	
46	21-Sep-2009	12	F	1	679	4	78510 1	52	
47	21-Sep-2009	12	F	3	702	0	78510 2	41	
48	21-Sep-2009	12	F	3	705	0	78510 3	42	
49	21-Sep-2009	12	M	3	785	n/a	78510 4	42	
50	21-Sep-2009	12	M	3	764	n/a	78510 5	52	
51	21-Sep-2009	12	M	3	695	n/a	78511 1	S2	
52	21-Sep-2009	12	M	3	745	n/a	78511 2	52	
53	22-Sep-2009	11	M	3	749	n/a	78511 3	52	
54	22-Sep-2009	11	M	2	787	n/a	78511 4	52	
55	22-Sep-2009	11	F	3	726	0	78511 5	52	
56	22-Sep-2009	11	F	3	732	0	78512 1	52	
57	22-Sep-2009	11	F	2	690	4	78512 2	52	
58	22-Sep-2009	12	F	3	664	0	78512 3	52	
59	22-Sep-2009	12	F	2	604	3	78512 4	42	
60	22-Sep-2009	12	F	2	708	1	78512 5	42	
61	22-Sep-2009	12	F	1	659	0	78513 1	2M	
62	22-Sep-2009	12	F	4	489	0	78513 2	42	nose-fork 618
63	22-Sep-2009	12	F	3	748	0	78513 3	52	
64	22-Sep-2009	12	F	2	595	0	78513 4	42	
65	22-Sep-2009	12	M	1	743	n/a	78513 5	52	
66	23-Sep-2009	16	M	2	753	n/a	78514 1	52	

Fish #	Date	Reach	Sex	Condition	POHL (mm)	# Eggs Retained	Fish Sample	Age (Gilbert-Rich)	Comments
67	23-Sep-2009	16	F	1	750	690	78514 2	52	
68	23-Sep-2009	16	F	1	692	0	78514 3	42	
69	23-Sep-2009	16	M	3	763	n/a	78514 4	52	
70	23-Sep-2009	16	F	3	713	0	78514 5	52	
71	24-Sep-2009	5	F	2	718	5	78515 1	52	
72	24-Sep-2009	5	F	3	668	0	78515 2	52	
73	24-Sep-2009	5	F	1	716	0	78515 3	52	
74	24-Sep-2009	5	M	3	747	n/a	78515 4	3M	
75	24-Sep-2009	5	F	1	656	13	78515 5	RG	
76	24-Sep-2009	5	M	2	740	n/a	78516 1	42	
77	24-Sep-2009	5	F	1	733	0	78516 2	52	
78	24-Sep-2009	5	F	2	714	3	78516 3	S2	
79	24-Sep-2009	5	F	3	693	0	78516 4	52	
80	24-Sep-2009	5	M	2	714	n/a	78516 5	52	
81	24-Sep-2009	6	F	4	759	0	78517 1	52	
82	24-Sep-2009	6	F	3	683	0	78517 2	52	
83	24-Sep-2009	6	F	2	710	0	78517 3	52	
84	24-Sep-2009	6	M	3	443	n/a	78517 4	32	nose-fork 550
85	24-Sep-2009	6	F	2	698	0	78517 5	42	
86	24-Sep-2009	6	M	3	722	n/a	78518 1	52	
87	24-Sep-2009	6	M	1	725	n/a	78518 2	52	
88	24-Sep-2009	6	F	3	704	0	78518 3	52	
89	24-Sep-2009	6	M	2	758	n/a	78518 4	52	
90	24-Sep-2009	6	F	3	659	0	78518 5	3M	
91	24-Sep-2009	6	M	2	750	n/a	78519 1	52	
92	24-Sep-2009	6	F	1	704	1	78519 2	52	
93	24-Sep-2009	6	F	1	702	0	78519 3	52	
94	24-Sep-2009	6	F	3	704	0	78519 4	52	
95	24-Sep-2009	6	F	2	698	2	78519 5	52	
96	24-Sep-2009	6	M	1	737	n/a	78520 2	52	
97	24-Sep-2009	6	M	2	738	n/a	78520 1	52	
98	24-Sep-2009	6	M	2	715	n/a	78520 3	52	
99	24-Sep-2009	6	M	2	573	n/a	78520 4	43	
100	24-Sep-2009	6	F	3	692	0	78520 5	52	

Fish #	Date	Reach	Sex	Condition	POHL (mm)	# Eggs Retained	Fish Sample	Age (Gilbert-Rich)	Comments
101	25-Sep-2009	3.1	F	1	725	0	78521 1	52	
102	25-Sep-2009	3.1	M	2	756	n/a	78521 2	52	
103	25-Sep-2009	3.1	M	2	674	n/a	78521 3	52	
104	25-Sep-2009	3.1	M	2	800	n/a	78521 4	3M	nose-fork 1030
105	25-Sep-2009	3.1	F	3	743	0	78521 5	3M	
106	25-Sep-2009	3.1	M	3	819	n/a	78522 1	3M	nose-fork 1040
107	25-Sep-2009	3.1	M	2	784	n/a	78522 2	52	nose-fork 1019
108	25-Sep-2009	3.1	M	3	795	n/a	78522 3	52	nose-fork 1045
109	25-Sep-2009	3.1	F	2	745	0	78522 4	52	
110	25-Sep-2009	3.1	M	3	747	n/a	78522 5	52	
111	25-Sep-2009	3.1	M	3	802	n/a	78523 1	52	nose-fork 1030
112	25-Sep-2009	3.1	F	3	703	0	78523 2	52	
113	25-Sep-2009	3.1	F	2	735	0	78523 3	52	
114	25-Sep-2009	3.1	M	2	710	n/a	78523 4	52	
115	25-Sep-2009	3.1	F	3	715	0	78523 5	52	
116	25-Sep-2009	3.1	M	3	723	n/a	78524 1	52	
117	25-Sep-2009	3.1	F	3	661	0	78524 2	52	
118	25-Sep-2009	3.1	F	3	713	0	78524 3	52	
119	25-Sep-2009	3.1	F	1	728	1	78524 4	3M	
120	25-Sep-2009	3.1	F	1	640	2	78524 5	52	
121	26-Sep-2009	16	F	3	728	0	78525 1	52	
122	26-Sep-2009	16	F	2	722	1	78525 2	52	
123	26-Sep-2009	16	F	2	593	204	78525 3	42	
124	26-Sep-2009	16	F	2	684	0	78525 4	52	
125	26-Sep-2009	16	M	2	743	n/a	78525 5	52	
126	26-Sep-2009	16	M	3	668	n/a	78526 1	3M	
127	26-Sep-2009	16	F	3	730	0	78526 2	42	
128	26-Sep-2009	16	M	2	785	n/a	78526 3	52	
129	26-Sep-2009	16	F	3	760	0	78526 4	52	
130	26-Sep-2009	16	M	2	758	n/a	78526 5	52	
131	27-Sep-2009	3.1	M	2	762	n/a	78527 1	42	
132	27-Sep-2009	3.1	M	3	733	n/a	78527 2	52	
133	27-Sep-2009	3.1	F	3	684	0	78527 3	S2	
134	27-Sep-2009	3.1	F	2	752	0	78527 4	S2	

Fish #	Date	Reach	Sex	Condition	POHL (mm)	# Eggs Retained	Fish Sample	Age (Gilbert-Rich)	Comments
135	27-Sep-2009	3.1	M	2	774	n/a	78527 5	52	
136	27-Sep-2009	3.1	F	3	696	0	78528 1	52	
137	27-Sep-2009	3.1	M	3	784	n/a	78528 2	52	
138	27-Sep-2009	3.1	M	3	757	n/a	78528 3	52	
139	27-Sep-2009	3.1	M	1	735	n/a	78528 4	52	
140	27-Sep-2009	3.1	F	1	727	4	78528 5	42	
141	27-Sep-2009	3.1	M	2	647	n/a	78529 1	42	
142	27-Sep-2009	3.1	F	2	733	8	78529 2	52	
143	27-Sep-2009	3.1	M	2	755	n/a	78529 3	S2	
144	27-Sep-2009	3.1	M	3	774	n/a	78529 4	52	
145	27-Sep-2009	3.1	M	3	709	n/a	78529 5	52	
146	27-Sep-2009	3.1	M	2	748	n/a	78530 1	52	
147	27-Sep-2009	3.1	F	3	690	0	78530 2	52	
148	27-Sep-2009	3.1	M	2	725	n/a	78530 3	42	
149	27-Sep-2009	3.1	M	2	732	n/a	78530 4	42	
150	27-Sep-2009	3.1	F	2	665	4	78530 5	42	
151	27-Sep-2009	3.2	M	2	733	n/a	78531 1	52	
152	27-Sep-2009	3.2	M	1	758	n/a	78531 2	52	
153	27-Sep-2009	3.2	M	1	842	n/a	78531 3	52	nose-fork 1082
154	27-Sep-2009	3.2	M	1	754	n/a	78531 4	52	nose-fork 999
155	27-Sep-2009	3.2	F	3	635	0	78531 5	3M	
156	27-Sep-2009	3.2	M	2	758	n/a	78532 1	3M	
157	27-Sep-2009	3.2	F	2	644	0	78532 2	52	
158	27-Sep-2009	3.2	F	3	706	0	78532 3	52	
159	27-Sep-2009	3.2	F	3	724	0	78532 4	52	
160	27-Sep-2009	3.2	F	1	723	0	78532 5	42	
161	28-Sep-2009	3.2	F	2	715	9	78533 1	52	
162	28-Sep-2009	3.2	F	1	702	1	78533 2	52	
163	28-Sep-2009	3.2	M	3	738	n/a	78533 3	42	
164	28-Sep-2009	3.2	F	3	717	0	78533 4	52	
165	28-Sep-2009	3.2	F	3	717	0	78533 5	52	
166	28-Sep-2009	3.2	F	3	712	0	78534 1	52	
167	28-Sep-2009	3.2	F	2	712	22	78534 2	3M	
168	28-Sep-2009	3.2	M	3	779	n/a	78534 3	52	

Fish #	Date	Reach	Sex	Condition	POHL (mm)	# Eggs Retained	Fish Sample	Age (Gilbert-Rich)	Comments
169	28-Sep-2009	3.2	M	3	761	n/a	78534 4	52	
170	28-Sep-2009	3.2	F	3	663	0	78534 5	41	
171	28-Sep-2009	3.2	F	2	704	0	78535 1	52	
172	28-Sep-2009	3.2	M	3	764	n/a	78535 2	52	
173	28-Sep-2009	3.2	M	1	748	n/a	78535 3	3M	
174	28-Sep-2009	3.2	F	3	753	0	78535 4	42	
175	28-Sep-2009	3.2	F	2	771	92	78535 5	3M	
176	28-Sep-2009	3.2	F	1	746	42	78536 1	42	
177	28-Sep-2009	3.2	F	3	739	0	78536 2	3M	
178	28-Sep-2009	3.2	F	1	696	0	78536 3	52	
179	28-Sep-2009	3.2	F	2	800	10	78536 4	52	nose-fork 975
180	28-Sep-2009	3.2	F	3	647	0	78536 5	42	possible clip
181	28-Sep-2009	3.2	M	3	763	n/a	78537 1	52	
182	28-Sep-2009	3.2	M	1	398	n/a	78537 2	32	nose-fork 499
183	29-Sep-2009	3.2	F	3	715	0	78537 3	42	
184	29-Sep-2009	3.2	F	2	699	0	78537 4	52	
185	29-Sep-2009	3.2	F	1	692	1	78537 5	42	
186	29-Sep-2009	3.2	F	2	563	0	78538 1	42	nose-fork 675
187	29-Sep-2009	4	F	2	706	0	78538 2	42	
188	29-Sep-2009	4	M	3	735	n/a	78538 3	52	
189	29-Sep-2009	4	F	4	673	0	78538 4	41	
190	29-Sep-2009	4	F	1	678	0	78538 5	52	
191	29-Sep-2009	4	M	3	785	n/a	78539 1	3M	
192	29-Sep-2009	4	F	3	723	0	78539 2	52	
193	29-Sep-2009	4	F	4	707	0	78539 3	52	
194	29-Sep-2009	4	F	4	690	0	78539 4	3M	
195	29-Sep-2009	4	F	2	734	0	78539 5	52	
196	30-Sep-2009	3.1	M	2	822	n/a	78540 1	52	nose-fork 1033
197	30-Sep-2009	3.1	F	2	738	3	78540 2	52	
198	30-Sep-2009	3.1	F	1	692	10	78540 3	52	
199	30-Sep-2009	3.1	F	1	709	0	78540 4	RG	
200	30-Sep-2009	3.1	M	1	748	n/a	78540 5	52	